	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/610,033	TACHIBANA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monique R. Jackson	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Monique R. Jackson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Marshall J. Chick.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 August 2006	Time: <u>11:18am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 1 st Paragraph		
Claims discussed: 1-6, 8-14, 17-18		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The Applicant's Attorney agreed to the Examiner's Amendment a Claim 1 into the other independent claims 13 and 14.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Jan on		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)